

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Bakman Water Company
(U 219 W) for Authority to: (1) Remove the
Proceeds of Water Contamination Lawsuits From
Contributions-In-Aid-of Construction, (2)
Increase Rate Base, and (3) Recover Increased
Revenue Requirements in Rates.

Application 02-07-025
(Filed July 9, 2002)

**JOINT ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER AND
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING REVISING SCHEDULE**

The Commission's Water Division (Water Division) has served a letter request dated November 22, 2002, requesting an additional month to serve its testimony. (The request is attached hereto as Appendix A.) The Water Division states that the audit of applicant took longer than anticipated, and it needs the extra time to analyze and review the audit results which serve as the basis for its testimony. Applicant Bakman Water Company does not oppose this request, provided the dates for serving applicant's testimony are similarly extended and that hearings begin before February 18. We agree to modify the schedule is as follows:

Event	Schedule
(1) Water Division's testimony; (2) The parties' joint stipulated testimony, including but not limited to testimony regarding ratemaking (rate of return) and rate design issues.	Both items served by Monday, December 23, 2002
Applicant's rebuttal testimony	Served by Monday, January 27, 2003
Written requests for Closing Argument Before the Assigned Commissioner	Served by Monday, January 27, 2003
Cross-examination estimates sent to the ALJ	Served by Wednesday, February 5, 2003 in such a manner that the ALJ receives them by 5:00 p.m. on February 5. ALJ Econome's email is jjj@cpuc.ca.gov and her fax number is 415/703-1723.
Evidentiary Hearings	Thursday, February 13 and Friday, February 14, 2003, commencing at 9:00 a.m. on both days, held in the Commission's Courtroom, State Office Building, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, California.
Requests for Oral Argument before the Commission	Made no later than the last day of evidentiary hearing (currently scheduled for February 14, 2003)
Concurrent Opening Briefs	Filed on Friday, March 7, 2003
Concurrent Reply Briefs	Filed on Friday, March 21, 2003
Proposed decision issues	No later than Thursday, June 19, 2003

IT IS RULED that the schedule for this proceeding is modified as set forth in this ruling.

Dated November 25, 2002, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ HENRY M. DUQUE

Henry M. Duque
Assigned Commissioner

/s/ JANET A. ECONOME

Janet A. Econome
Administrative Law Judge

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298

November 22, 2002



Via Hand-Delivery

Honorable Janet Econome
California Public Utilities Commission
Administrative Law Judge Division
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: A.02-07-025 - Water Division's Request for Extension of Time

Dear Judge Econome:

The Water Division of the California Public Utilities Commission hereby requests a one-month extension of time to submit its testimony. Today, on behalf of the Water Division, I contacted Mr. Weil, representative of the Applicant, regarding this matter.

According to the September 18, 2002 Scoping Memo and Ruling of Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge, the Water Division's testimony is currently due on September 25, 2002 and the Applicant's rebuttal testimony is due on December 23, 2002. Via a telephone conversation, the parties have agreed to extend the due dates of the testimony as follows:

December 23, 2002 – Water Division's testimony
January 27, 2003 – Applicant's rebuttal testimony

During the telephone conversation, Mr. Weil, on behalf of the Applicant, also requested that the evidentiary hearings begin no later than February 18, 2003, subject to the Administrative Law Judge Econome's schedule and the hearing room availability. The Water Division does not oppose the Applicant's proposed date for the evidentiary hearings.

Honorable Janet Econome

November 22, 2002

Page 2

The Water Division requests additional time to prepare its testimony because it has not had adequate time to analyze and review the audit results, which will serve as the basis of its testimony. The audit examination took longer than originally anticipated. The Water Division was not able to finish the audit examination until Mid-November, leaving a very little time for staff to digest and analyze the results. The revised due date will provide the Water staff with the necessary time to prepare a thorough, accurate and well-reasoned testimony. The revised due date for the Water Division's testimony will not prejudice the Applicant since its due date for the rebuttal testimony has been extended as well.

Sincerely,

Sindy J. Yun

Attorney for the Water Division

SJY:mbh

cc: To All Parties of Record
Ms. Dottie Patton – the Applicant

(END OF APPENDIX A)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Joint Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge Ruling's Revising Schedule on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record. In addition, service was also performed by electronic mail.

Dated November 25, 2002, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ FANNIE SID

Fannie Sid

N O T I C E

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.

The Commission's policy is to schedule hearings (meetings, workshops, etc.) in locations that are accessible to people with disabilities. To verify that a particular location is accessible, call: Calendar Clerk (415) 703-1203.

If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g., sign language interpreters, those making the arrangements must call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074 or TTY# 1-866-836-7825 or (415) 703-5282 at least three working days in advance of the event.